



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/884,720	06/19/2001	Richard R. Hengst	6096-01	2520

7590 10/02/2002

McCormick, Paulding & Huber
City Place II
185 Asylum Street
Hartford, CT 06103-3402

EXAMINER

KACKAR, RAM N

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1763

DATE MAILED: 10/02/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/884,720	Applicant(s) HENGST, RICHARD R.
	Examiner Ram N Kackar	Art Unit 1763

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

 - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.

 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

 - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

 - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 December 2001.

 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.

 4a) Of the above claim(s) 17-27 is/are withdrawn from consideration.

 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

 6) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.

 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

 8) Claim(s) 1-27 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.

 If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

 a) All b) Some * c) None of:

 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

 * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).

 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____

 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____
 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION***Election/Restrictions***

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 17-27, drawn to a method, classified in class 427, subclass 249.15.
 - II. Claims 1-16, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 118, subclass 728.
2. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus could be used just for storing substrates without doing any processing.
3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
4. During a telephone conversation with Mr. Stephen Scuderi on 9/25/02 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group II, claims 1-16. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 17-27 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5 The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In this instance, phrase "coating purity level of less than 1 ppm" refers to low purity while low impurity would be desirable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claims 1-6 and 9-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) or 102(e) as being anticipated by Inaba et al (JP 11016993) which later became (US Patent 6093644). Inaba et al disclose a vertical wafer boat for supporting silicon wafers having ceramic body (Fig 1 and Col 1 line 34) having ceramic coating to prevent migration of impurities (Col 1 line 20) and surface finish over the coating to prevent slip in substrates of large diameters (Col 1 line 20) and at high temperature (Col 1 line 13).

Inaba et al disclose the finish to have a maximum roughness of less than 10 μm and generally roughness of 0.1 μm (Col 2 line 52-55) and an impurity of less than 0.1 ppm (Col 3 line 14 and 15).

Also disclosed are horizontal base (Fig 1-13), top plate (Fig 1-12), support rods (Fig 1-11), plurality of slots (Fig -14), each having ceramic coating and surface finish (Col 2 line 47).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

9. Claims 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inaba et al (JP 11016993) which later became (US Patent 6093644) in view of Lu et al (US 5904778). Inaba et al disclose ceramic coating of silicon carbide but do not disclose its thickness. Lu et al disclose SiC coating on sintered Silicon carbide being 100µm or less (Col 6 line 21-23). Therefore it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made

10. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inaba et al (JP 11016993) which later became (US Patent 6093644) in view of Larry S Wingo (US 6171400). Inaba et al disclose a wafer boat but do not disclose a stress relief slot and location notch. Wingo discloses wafer boat having both stress relief slot and notches at the base (Fig 1). Therefore it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to use the teaching of Wingo so as to be able to avoid problem due to thermal expansion and be able to place correctly on processing platform.

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: US 5904892 and 3951587.

Art Unit: 1763

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ram N Kackar whose telephone number is 703 305 3996. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 A.M to 5: P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Mills can be reached on 703 308 1633. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 872 9310 for regular communications and 703 872 9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 0661.

RK

September 28, 2002


GREGORY MILLS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700